

From: [MCCLINCY Matt](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [SUTTER Jennifer](#)
Subject: RE: Draft Comments on Groundwater Pathway Appendix
Date: 01/22/2009 01:02 PM

Eric,

Can you forward a figure or provide a reference to the figure to which Kristine is referring?

We want to make sure that we are all looking at the same info.

Matt

-----Original Message-----

From: SUTTER Jennifer [mailto:Jennifer.Sutter@state.or.us]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 9:27 AM
To: MCCLINCY Matt
Subject: RE: Draft Comments on Groundwater Pathway Appendix

Can you forward the figures? The figure I have says MW-4 is abandoned.
Jen

-----Original Message-----

From: MCCLINCY Matt [mailto:Matt.Mcclincy@state.or.us]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 8:45 AM
To: SUTTER Jennifer
Cc: ANDERSON Jim M
Subject: FW: Draft Comments on Groundwater Pathway Appendix

Jennifer,

Can you help us out here regarding Kristine's perspective.

Matt

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2009 12:02 PM
To: MCCLINCY Matt; ANDERSON Jim M
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Fw: Draft Comments on Groundwater Pathway Appendix

Matt and Jim, see the note below from Kristine regarding MW-22 at the OSM site. Based on a quick look at the groundwater contour maps and monitoring well locations, my inclination is to agree with Kristine - MW-3 and MW-4 along the east property boundary seem to be more representative of background conditions.

Eric

----- Forwarded by Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US on 01/21/2009 11:58 AM

Kristine
Koch/R10/USEPA/U
S

01/21/2009 11:43
AM

To
Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Rene Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject
Re: Draft Comments on Groundwater
Pathway Appendix(Document link:
Eric Blischke)

Eric - I do not support using EOSM MW-22 as a background monitoring well. The data shows that this well has impacted groundwater (note that groundwater contours do not extend this far so source is unknown). I would suggest using BL-MW-3 and/or 4 (BL-MW-4 seems preferable since it is at highest groundwater elevation - see attached, Figures 3 & 4).

[attachment "ViewImage.action.pdf" deleted by Eric
Blischke/R10/USEPA/US]

Kristine Koch
Remedial Project Manager
USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115
Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705
(206)553-0124 (fax)
1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)

Eric
Blischke/R10/USE
PA/US

01/20/2009 03:46
PM

To
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Rene Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

cc

Subject
Draft Comments on Groundwater
Pathway Appendix

Here is a draft set of comments. Please review. I would like to send
out tomorrow.

Thanks, Eric

[attachment "GroundwaterPathwayOutline012009.doc" deleted by Eric
Blischke/R10/USEPA/US]